January 21, 2008

International Accounting Standards Board
30 Cannon Street
London EC4M 6XH
United Kingdom

Dear Sir

Re: IAA comments on Exposure Draft of Proposed Improvements to International Financial Reporting Standards

I refer to earlier correspondence relating to the Exposure Draft of Proposed Improvements to International Financial Reporting Standards, and am pleased to transmit on behalf of the International Actuarial Association (IAA) our comments on the proposed amendments to IAS 19 Employee Benefits.

These comments have been prepared by the Accounting Subcommittee of the Pensions & Employee Benefits Committee of the IAA. If, upon reading these comments, you identify any points that you would wish to pursue, please do not hesitate to contact the chairperson of the subcommittee, Gary Hibbard, or the chairperson of the committee, Esko Kivisaari. The IAA will be pleased to develop these ideas further with you.

Yours sincerely

Yves Guérard
Secretary General

Attachment: Comments

cc: Esko Kivisaari
International Actuarial Association
The International Actuarial Association (the “IAA”) represents the international actuarial profession. Our fifty-seven Full Member actuarial associations represent more than 95% of all actuaries practicing around the world. The Full Member associations of the IAA are listed in an Appendix to this statement. The IAA promotes high standards of actuarial professionalism across the globe and serves as the voice of the actuarial profession when dealing with other international bodies on matters falling within or likely to have an impact on the areas of expertise of actuaries.

The IAA appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on this IASB document. Our comments are restricted to the proposed amendments to IAS 19 (Employee Benefits).

Due Process
These comments have been prepared by the Accounting Subcommittee of the Pensions and Employee Benefits Committee of the IAA. The members of the Committee are listed in an Appendix to this statement. The response has also been subject to the due process required for it to constitute a formal view of the IAA, and will be posted to the IAA’s official web site.

IAA Comments
We have restricted our comments to the specific questions raised by the IASB as part of this consultation. We would nonetheless welcome the opportunity to discuss the general review of IAS 19 that the IASB has commenced. Although two IAA representatives participate on the working group supporting the IAS 19 review, if the IAA can be of assistance more directly we would be pleased to do so.

Question 14(a)
We support the proposal to distinguish between (negative) past service costs and curtailments, such that to the extent that a plan amendment reduces:

- past service benefits, the impact is treated as negative past service costs
- future service benefits, the impact is treated as a curtailment.

We are conscious that benefit designs vary considerably around the world. In practice, it may not be entirely clear to users of the amended Standard how to apply it in particular situations. For example, there are different views today whether the impact of the following changes should be treated as a negative past service cost or a curtailment:

- if the link to future salary on past service is broken on closing a plan to future accruals
- if the eligibility or vesting conditions for entitlement to benefits under a plan are worsened retrospectively (e.g. instead of completing five years’ service, an existing plan member must now complete 10 years’ service).
In both cases, we read the proposed amendment as indicating that the impact of the change would be treated as a negative past service cost. It may be helpful to those who will apply the amended Standard to provide additional guidance on these or other examples in the final document.

Question 15
The precise treatment of the “costs of administering the plan” is not defined under IAS 19 as currently worded. For example, does “costs of administering the plan” include investment manager fees for investing the assets of the plan?

The proposed amendment, although already stated in section 107, essentially avoids double counting. The practical question is whether the IASB, by moving this to the definitions, is thereby amending its preference for treating the expenses of administering a plan as an accrued liability of the plan, or as a running cost to be met by deduction from the return on plan assets, to a preference as a component of service cost. For the same plan, can the treatment of investment management costs be different to the treatment of other administration costs (such as paying pensions)? And would the treatment of “the costs of administering the plan” differ if:

- the plan does not have assets
- administration costs are paid by the employer rather than by the plan
- the plan does not have any active employees (i.e., if administration expenses were added to service cost, service cost would comprise administration expenses only).

It also does not specify what, if any, disclosures about this matter should be made in sponsor accounts.

We would suggest an amended definition of return on plan assets. We understand return on plan assets as defined to mean the actual return on plan assets, not the expected return on plan assets. Any administrative expense amounts included in the return are by definition expenses that occurred in the past. Expenses included in the defined benefit obligation are by definition expenses to be paid in the future. Expenses to be paid in the future are not related to the return on plan assets. When those expenses do occur (assuming the actuarial assumptions are precisely correct), the reconciliation of the obligation will show a gain equal to the amount of expense, and the return on assets will then reflect the amount of expense (since the expense is now historical) and will in that manner directly offset the gain on the obligation.

We would suggest proposed amendment to the definition of return on plan assets refer instead to “… less any cost of administering the plan (other than those for which the expected amount had been elsewhere expensed) and less…”

Finally, it would be helpful if the treatment of taxation is clarified. Should taxes levied on asset returns be dealt with as an administrative expense or in a different way?

Question 16
Although the proposed amendment is well motivated, we believe it will cause unintended consequences as drafted.
Many employee benefits have eligibility criteria attaching relating to the completion of a given period of service – once those criteria are met, the employee is “entitled” to the accrued benefit.

In most countries, the vast majority of retirement plans set a vesting period for entitlement to benefits, with benefits only being able to be physically drawn on reaching retirement age in the future. As drafted, it appears that once an employee has met the vesting period, his/her accrued retirement benefits would be classified as short-term employee benefits. Taking the UK as an example, this would mean a reclassification of 90%+ of accrued liabilities from post employment benefits to short-term employee benefits.

This would appear to be avoidable if the definition of short-term employee benefits explicitly excludes employee benefits that meet the definition of post employment benefits (as has been done for the definition of other long-term employee benefits).

Questions 14(b) and 17
We have no comments on these questions.
**Appendix**

**Members of the IAA Accounting Subcommittee of the Pensions & Employee Benefits Committee**

Gary Ryan Hibbard
Alfred E. Gohdes
Guillermo Ezcurra Lopez De La Garma
Timothy Angelo Furlan
Ronald Gebhardtsbauer
Gary Ryan Hibbard
Esko Kivisaari
Jaco Langner
José Roberto Montello
Brian Thomas Mulcair
Anne Grete Steinkjer

Chairperson
Instituto de Actuarios Españoles
American Academy of Actuaries
American Academy of Actuaries
Institute of Actuaries
Suomen Aktuaariyhdistys
Actuarial Society of South Africa
Society of Actuaries in Ireland
Den Norske Aktuarforening

**Members of the IAA Pensions & Employee Benefits Committee**

Esko Kivisaari
Yoshihiro Oyama
Ronald Stewart Bowie
Philippe Demol
Ronald Gebhardtsbauer
Alfred E. Gohdes
Gary Ryan Hibbard
Curtis E. Huntington
James Richard Kehoe
Sylvestre Konin
Martin Kosztolanyi
Ása Larson
Josef Lukásek
José Roberto Montello
José Muriel Del Sordo
Takayuki Nagasawa
Catherine Anne Nance
Ieva Ose
Neil A Parmenter
Hannu Parviainen
Manuel Peraita Huerta
Eduard Ponds
Ksenija Sanjkovic
K.P. Sarma
David Serr
Colin Leslie Southey
Anne Grete Steinkjer
Joan Angel Vergés Guerra
Jill M Wagman
Ulrich Wehrli

Chairperson
Vice-Chairperson
Faculty of Actuaries
Association Royale des Actuaires Belges
American Academy of Actuaries, Conference of Consulting Actuaries
Deutsche Aktuarvereinigung e. V. (DAV)
Institute of Actuaries
American Society of Pension Professionals & Actuaries
Society of Actuaries in Ireland
Institut des Actuaires de Côte d'Ivoire
Slovenska Spolocnost Aktuarov
Svenska Aktuarieföreningen
Ceská Spolecnost Aktuárů
Instituto Brasileiro de Atuária (IBA)
Colegio Nacional de Actuarios A. C.
Japanese Society of Certified Pension Actuaries
Institute of Actuaries of Australia
Latvijas Aktuariu Asociacija
Society of Actuaries
Suomen Aktuaariyhdistys
Instituto de Actuarios Españoles
Het Actuarieel Genootschap
Hrvatsko Aktuarsko Drustvo
Institute of Actuaries of India
Israel Association of Actuaries
Actuarial Society of South Africa
Den Norske Aktuarforening
Col.legi d'Actuaris de Catalunya
Canadian Institute of Actuaries
Association Suisse des Actuaires
Appendix

Full Member Associations of the IAA
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Actuarial Society of South Africa (South Africa)
Col.legi d'Actuaris de Catalunya (Spain)
Instituto de Actuarios Españoles (Spain)
Svenska Aktuarieföreningen (Sweden)
Association Suisse des Actuaires (Switzerland)
Actuarial Institute of Chinese Taipei
Faculty of Actuaries (United Kingdom)
Institute of Actuaries (United Kingdom)
American Academy of Actuaries (United States)
American Society of Pension Professionals & Actuaries (United States)
Casualty Actuarial Society (United States)
Conference of Consulting Actuaries (United States)
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